

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

ינאני

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/665,579	09/19/2003	Mark Huetinck	LYALL.59549	6446	
27629	7590 05/08/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
FULWIDER PATTON LEE & UTECHT, LLP			CHAMBERS, A MICHAEL		
	IGATE, SUITE 1550 CH, CA 90802		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		3753		
			DATE MAILED: 05/08/2000	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

)			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/665,579	HUETINCK, MARK				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	A. Michael Chambers	3753				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	N). imely filed in the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
,	 s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowed						
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application	1.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.	·					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc	cepted or b) \square objected to by the	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct) .			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applica prity documents have been receiv nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <i>09/19/03</i> .	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/665,579 Page 2

Art Unit: 3753

DETAILED ACTION

1. This application has been examined. Claims 13-22 have been cancelled. Applicant's election of the claims of Group I (claims 1-12) without traverse is noted. Nonelected claims of Groups II and II (claims 13-22) have been cancelled. The election is deemed proper and therefore made final. An action on the merits of claims 1-12 is included in this Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 1, 5-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mueller. Note the gas meter 20 and lock elements clearly shown in Figure 9. The poppet valve rotates to control the opening and closing of a plurality of ports (3). The "....carrying of the locking member by the lever arm results in an interference between the locking member..." 110 and the wing stop 104 which includes the coacting holes 108, 106 and the locking member. No patentable weight has been given to a "paint" or coating for the housing (claim 5). A weather seal 86 is shown. A U-shaped pipe 40 for the gas meter 20 is shown in Figure 1. Mating threaded elements are shown in Figure 2.

Application/Control Number: 10/665,579 Page 3

Art Unit: 3753

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co., 148 USPQ 459*, that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or unobviousness.
- 6. Claims 2-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mueller et al in view of Mueller. Mueller discloses the claimed invention except for the of rotational stops as shown in Figure 3 of Mueller. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the flow control assembly of Mueller et al to include rotational stops, as taught by Mueller in order to prevent over rotation of the valve to respective open and closed positions.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 3753

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to A. Michael Chambers whose telephone number is 571-272-4908. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur. 6:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eric Keasel can be reached on 571-272-4929. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-947 (toll-free).

A. Michael Chambers Primary Examiner Art Unit 3753

amc 04/29/06